


Malpractice and Maladministration Policy

Responsibility:	Liz Scott-Walter, Responsible Officer	Date doc. approved:	V6.0 November 2025
Print name sign off:	Simon Little, Managing Director	Last review date of doc:	V1.0 Nov 2020 V2.0 June 2022 V3.0 August 2023 V5.0 November 2024
Signature:		Next review date:	November 2026

Document Control		
Version Number	Date	Changes
V6.0	18 September 2025	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Changed name of Responsible Officer (RO) ▪ Amended ESFA Education and Skills Funding Agency (ESFA) to Department for Education (DfE) responsibility ▪ Amended 'Ifate' to 'Skills England' ▪ Amended name of Senior Responsible Officer (RO) ▪ Added Section 4 'associates' and process for suspected malpractice.

Please Note: This policy has been reviewed at a time when apprenticeship reform is in progress and organisations are transitioning from End-Point Assessment Organisations (EPAOs) to Assessment Organisations (AOs), and from end-point assessment to apprenticeship assessments. The terminology used within this policy is EPAO and End-Point Assessments (EPA), to be updated to AO etc. once that transition is complete.

Section 1. Introduction

Best Practice Network is committed to providing high-quality qualifications and assessments that are delivered fairly and accurately. The purpose of this policy is to ensure BPN is vigilant about any events which may lead to malpractice or maladministration and that robust arrangements are in place to prevent and investigate instances of malpractice and maladministration.

Incidents of malpractice / maladministration can potentially lead to apprentices being disadvantaged, can require the conducting of costly and time-consuming investigations and may cause reputational damage to the Independent Assessment Service, employers, and training providers. It is therefore desirable to prevent malpractice or maladministration from occurring whenever possible. Where it is not possible to prevent this, cases of suspected or actual malpractice / maladministration should be dealt with quickly, thoroughly, and effectively.

This policy aims to define malpractice and maladministration and provide support to centres, staff,

and learners. BPN-EPAO will make best efforts not to disadvantage the apprentice while safeguarding the integrity of the assessment process.

Section 2. Scope

This policy has been designed with reference to the Ofqual general conditions of recognition, to meet the external quality assurance requirements of the DfE and Skills England and applies to all end-point assessment (EPA) activities.

This policy is not intended to be prescriptive or imply that using it will guarantee compliance with BPN requirements as it is each employer and / or training provider's responsibility to ensure they have in place appropriate internal controls and audit trails.

Section 3. Communication

It is important that those involved in the management, assessment and quality assurance of BPN EPA, and apprentices, are fully aware of the contents of the policy and the guidance offer and that this policy includes any person or organisation involved in the apprenticeship that can impact the EPA - EPAO, Apprentice, Assessor, staff, independent training providers.

Section 4. Responsibilities

It is the responsibility of all BPN staff, associates, employers and training providers to be vigilant with regard to any events which may lead to malpractice / maladministration occurring, and that all those involved in EPA have arrangements in place to prevent and investigate instances of malpractice and maladministration. All BPN-EPAO staff and associates, including EQAs, Moderators, and Assessors who discover or suspect malpractice in examinations or assessments, sampling learner evidence or conducting EPA, must immediately contact the Quality and Compliance Team to discuss the suspected malpractice.

Section 5. Definitions

Definition	Examples
Malpractice: refers to improper, illegal, or negligent professional behaviour	
<p>It includes an activity or practice which deliberately contravenes regulations, and codes of practice where these compromise the integrity of the EPA process and / or the validity of apprenticeship certificates. It covers any deliberate actions, neglect, default, or other practice that compromises, or could compromise:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ The EPA process ▪ The integrity of an EPA activity ▪ The validity of a result or the apprenticeship certificate ▪ The reputation and credibility of BPN ▪ The apprenticeship brand ▪ The DfE ▪ The External Quality Assurer 	<p>Please note that these examples are not exhaustive and are only intended as guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Denial of access to premises, records, information, apprentices, and staff to any authorised BPN representative. ▪ Failure to carry out internal assessment, internal moderation, or standardisation in accordance with EPA or BPN requirements. ▪ Failure of BPN to check that employer/provider facilities are appropriate. ▪ Deliberate failure to: adhere to BPN apprentice registration and certification procedures; adhere to EPA requirements; maintain appropriate auditable records, e.g. certification claims and / or forgery of evidence. ▪ Fraudulent claim for certificates. ▪ The unauthorised use of inappropriate materials / equipment in assessment settings (e.g., mobile phones). ▪ Intentional withholding of information from BPN which is critical to maintaining the rigour of quality assurance and standards of EPA. ▪ Deliberate misuse of BPN logo and trademarks or misrepresentation of a relationship with BPN. ▪ Collusion or permitting collusion in questioning / assessments. ▪ Persistent instances of maladministration. ▪ Deliberate contravention by an employer / training provider / technical expert and / or its apprentices of the EPA rules specified for the apprenticeship, in accordance with the assessment plan. ▪ A loss, theft of, or a breach of confidentiality in, any assessment materials. ▪ Plagiarism by apprentices / staff. ▪ Withholding of information, by deliberate act or omission, from us which is required to assure BPN of the ability to deliver appropriately. ▪ Misuse of BPN logo and trademarks or misrepresentation of a relationship with BPN. ▪ Infringements identified at audit of EPA delivery.

Definition	Examples
<p>Maladministration: Bias, neglect, inattention, delay, incompetence, ineptitude, perversity, and anything that gravely violates accepted community standards.</p>	
<p>Maladministration is essentially any activity or practice which results in non-compliance with administrative regulations and requirements and includes the application of persistent mistakes or poor administration (e.g., inappropriate apprentice records).</p>	<p>Please note that these examples are not exhaustive and are only intended as guidance:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Persistent failure to adhere to BPN apprentice registration and certification procedures; to adhere to EPA requirements; to schedule activities in enough time to allow BPN to source experts for their role in EPA; adhere to, or to circumnavigate, the requirements of BPN Reasonable Adjustments Policy. ▪ Late apprentice registrations. ▪ Unreasonable delays in responding to requests and / or communications from BPN-EPAO. ▪ Failure to maintain appropriate auditable records. ▪ Withholding of information, by deliberate act or omission, from us which is required to assure BPN of the ability to deliver appropriately. ▪ Misuse of BPN logo and trademarks or misrepresentation of a relationship with BPN. ▪ Infringements identified at audit of EPA delivery.

Section 6. Plagiarism and collusion

Best Practice Network EPAO is committed to upholding the highest standards of integrity, honesty, and originality in all aspects of our work. Plagiarism and collusion are serious offenses that undermine these principles and compromise the credibility and reputation of our organisation. This section outlines the guidelines and consequences related to plagiarism and collusion and applies to all apprentices, employees, contractors, freelancers, interns, volunteers, and any other individuals associated with Best Practice Network EPAO.

Definition of Plagiarism

Plagiarism is the act of using someone else's ideas, words, images, creative works, or intellectual property without giving appropriate credit or authorisation. It includes, but is not limited to:

- a. Copying and pasting content from a source (published or unpublished) without proper citation.
- b. Paraphrasing or rephrasing someone else's work without acknowledgment.
- c. Using images, graphics, or multimedia content without obtaining the necessary permissions or giving proper credit.
- d. Presenting another person's or others' ideas or research findings as one's own.
- e. Submitting work created by others as one's own, including essays, reports, code, designs, etc.
- f. Using unauthorised assistance or collaboration on individual assessments where individual effort is expected.

- g. Self-plagiarism, which involves reusing one's own previously submitted work without appropriate citation or permission.
- h. Use of artificial intelligence (AI) or any automated tools in completing study assignments, projects, or assessments. (Refer to BPN EPA Policy on the use of artificial intelligence (AI)).

Definition of Collusion

Collusion is defined as two or more learners who collaborate on a piece of work and submit this as their own. Examples of collusion covered under malpractice include:

- More than one learner collaborates to produce a piece of work together with the intention that it is submitted as their work.
- A learner submitting the work of another learner (with their consent) as their own, individual work. In such cases, both learners would be deemed to have committed collusion.
- A learner working with a third party to produce work that will be submitted as the learner's own.

Responsibilities

Employees and Associates

All employees and associates of Best Practice Network are responsible for adhering to this Plagiarism Policy. They must ensure that any work their learners submit, or present is entirely their own, or if using external sources, that proper credit is given to the original authors or creators.

Management, Seniors, and Sector Leads

Are responsible for promoting awareness of this Plagiarism Policy among their teams and ensuring that their team members understand the importance of maintaining academic and professional integrity.

Preventive Measures

To prevent plagiarism, Best Practice Network EPAO will take the following measures:

- a) Provide training and resources on proper citation and referencing practices.
- b) Use a range of plagiarism detection tools to review and verify originality.
- c) Establish clear expectations regarding individual effort and collaboration on assessment tasks.
- d) Implement regular checks and audits to identify and address potential cases of plagiarism.

Consequences of Plagiarism

Plagiarism is considered a serious breach of trust and may result in assessment failure or suspension/removal from the programme of learning. The severity of the consequences will depend on the nature and extent of the plagiarism, as well as the individual's history of previous work assessed and submitted.

Reporting Plagiarism

Any individual who becomes aware of a suspected or confirmed case of plagiarism must report it immediately to their supervisor, manager, or the designated authority for handling such matters. Reports will be treated confidentially and with utmost seriousness.

If checks confirm that plagiarism by an individual(s) has taken place, dependant on the gravity and scope,

one or more of the following actions will be taken:

- Disallowing all or part of a learner/s assessment evidence or marks.
- The learner(s) certificates will not be issued, or previously issued certificates will be made void.
- No further registrations will be accepted for the learners.
- The individual(s) may be withdrawn from their programme/course.
- A report will be made to the Best Practice Network Senior Leadership Team (SLT).

Revision of the Plagiarism Policy

Best Practice Network EPAO reserves the right to revise and update this Plagiarism and AI Policy as needed. All employees and associates will be notified of any changes, and they will be expected to comply with the updated policy.

By adhering to this Plagiarism and AI Policy, Best Practice Network EPAO aims to foster an environment of trust, respect, and originality while upholding the organisation's values and professional standards.

Section 7. Process for making an allegation of malpractice or maladministration

Anyone who identifies or is made aware of suspected or actual cases of malpractice or maladministration at any time must immediately notify BPN via one of the following methods:

- Phone: 01217138251 or 01217138238
- Email: epa@bestpracticenet.co.uk

All allegations must include (where possible):

- Employer and training provider name, address and contact details
- Apprentice's name (where applicable)
- BPN-EPAO personnel's details (name, job role) if they are involved in the case
- Details of BPN-EPAO affected or nature of the service affected
- Nature of the suspected or actual malpractice and associated dates details and outcome of any initial investigation carried out by the employer or training provider, or anybody else involved in the case, including any mitigating circumstances

If the employer or training provider has conducted an initial investigation, prior to formally notifying BPN, the employer or training provider should ensure that staff involved in the initial investigation are competent and have no personal interest in the outcome of the investigation. However, it is important to note that in all instances the employer or training provider must immediately notify BPN if they suspect malpractice or maladministration has occurred, as we have a responsibility to ensure that all investigations related to End Point Assessment are carried out rigorously and effectively.

Section 8. Confidentiality and 'Whistle Blowing'

Whistleblowing is a term used to refer to an individual who discloses information relating to actual malpractice or maladministration and / or the covering up of such practices. Whistle-blowers have protection in law under the Public Interest Disclosure Act in certain circumstances.

BPN-EPAO will always endeavour to keep a whistle-blower's identity confidential where asked to do so, although we cannot guarantee this and we may need to disclose your identity to the police or other law enforcement agencies, the courts or another person to whom we are required by law to disclose your identity. A whistle-blower should also recognise that they may be identifiable by others due to the nature or circumstances of the disclosure. While we are prepared to investigate issues which are reported to us anonymously, we shall always try to confirm an allegation by means of a separate investigation before taking up the matter with those the complaint / allegation relates to. It is not always possible to investigate or substantiate anonymous reports.

Section 9. Responsibility for the investigation

All suspected cases of maladministration and malpractice will be examined promptly to establish if malpractice or maladministration has occurred and will take all reasonable steps to prevent any adverse effect from occurring.

All suspected cases of malpractice and maladministration will be passed to BPN Director of CPD and Accreditation (Senior Responsible Officer), and we will acknowledge receipt, as appropriate, to external parties within 48 hours.

The BPN-EPAO Senior Responsible Officer, will be responsible for ensuring the investigation is carried out in a prompt and effective manner and in accordance with the procedures in this policy and will allocate a relevant member of staff to lead the investigation and establish whether or not the malpractice or maladministration has occurred, and review any supporting evidence received or gathered by BPN. On a case by case basis, a decision will be made regarding the level of involvement of the Independent Governor.

At all times we will ensure that BPN-EPAO personnel assigned to the investigation have the appropriate level of training and competence and they have had no previous involvement or personal interest in the matter.

In normal circumstances, investigations will be completed within a thirty-day period.

Section 10. Notifying relevant parties

In all cases of suspected or actual malpractice, we will notify the lead contact involved in the allegation that we will be investigating the matter. We may ask you to investigate the issue in liaison with BPN's own personnel – in doing so we may withhold details of the person making the allegation if to do so would breach a duty of confidentiality or any other legal duty.

Where applicable, BPN Director of CPD and Accreditation will inform Ofqual, DfE and/or Skills England if we believe there has been an incident of malpractice or maladministration which could invalidate the award of an apprenticeship.

Where the allegation may affect another organisation, we will also inform them. If we do not know the details of organisations that might be affected, we will ask Ofqual, or DfE to help us identify relevant parties that should be informed.

Section 11. Investigation timelines and summary process

We aim to action and resolve all stages of the investigation within 10 working days of receipt of the allegation. Please note that in some cases the investigation may take longer; for example, if a visit is required. In such instances, we will advise all parties concerned of the likely revised timescale. The fundamental principle of all investigations is to conduct them in a fair, reasonable, and legal manner, ensuring that all relevant evidence is considered without bias. In doing so investigations will be based around the following broad objectives:

- To establish the facts relating to allegations / complaints in order to determine whether any irregularities have occurred
- To identify the cause of the irregularities and those involved
- To establish the scale of the irregularities
- To evaluate any action already taken by the employer
- To determine whether remedial action is required to reduce the risk to current registered apprentices and to preserve the integrity of the qualification
- To ascertain whether any action is required in respect of certificates already issued
- To obtain clear evidence to support any sanctions to be applied to the employer or training provider or technical expert, and / or to members of staff
- To identify any adverse patterns or trends

The investigation may involve a request for further information from relevant parties and / or interviews with personnel involved in the investigation. Therefore, we will:

- Ensure all material collected as part of an investigation is kept secure with encrypted files and limited access to the investigating officer and others on a need to know basis. All records and original documentation concerning a completed investigation that ultimately leads to sanctions against an employer / training provider / technical expert will be retained for a period of not less than five years.
- If an investigation leads to invalidation of certificates, or criminal or civil prosecution, all records and original documentation relating to the case will be retained until the case and any appeals have been heard and for five years thereafter.
- Expect all parties, who are either directly or indirectly involved in the investigation, to fully co-operate with us.

Either at notification of a suspected or actual case of malpractice or maladministration and / or at any time during the investigation, we reserve the right to impose sanctions on the employer or training provider in order to protect the interests of apprentices and the integrity of the apprenticeship EPA.

We also reserve the right to withhold an apprentice's and / or cohort's results for EPA if they were undergoing EPA at the time of the notification or investigation of suspected or actual malpractice / maladministration.

If appropriate, we may find that the complexity of a case or a lack of cooperation from an employer or training provider means that they are unable to complete an investigation. In such circumstances we will consult the relevant regulatory authority in order to determine how best to progress the matter.

Where a member of BPN-EPAO staff is under investigation we may suspend them or move them to other duties until the investigation is complete.

Throughout the investigation BPN-EPAO Quality and Compliance Manager will be responsible for overseeing the work of the investigation team to ensure that due process is being followed, appropriate evidence has been gathered and reviewed and for liaising with and keeping informed relevant external parties. If BPN-EPAO Quality and Compliance Manager has any conflict in doing this, another senior member of staff will be appointed to manage the investigation.

Section 12. Investigation report

After an investigation, we will produce a draft report for relevant parties concerned to check the factual accuracy where appropriate. Any subsequent amendments will be agreed between the parties concerned and ourselves.

The report will:

- Identify where the breach, if any, occurred
- Confirm the facts of the case. Identify who is responsible for the breach (if any)
- Confirm an appropriate level of remedial action to be applied.

We will make the final report available to the parties concerned and to other external agencies as required. The final report will also be shared with the Chair of the Governing Body.

If it was an independent / third party that notified us of the suspected or actual case of malpractice, we will also inform them of the outcome – normally within 10 working days of making BPN decision - in doing so we may withhold some details if to disclose such information would breach a duty of confidentiality or any other legal duty.

If it is an internal investigation against a member of BPN-EPAO staff, the report will be agreed by BPN Director of CPD and Accreditation with the relevant internal managers and appropriate internal procedures will be implemented.

Section 13. Investigation outcomes

If the investigation confirms that malpractice or maladministration has taken place, we will consider what action to take to:

- Minimise the risk to the integrity of EPA delivery and apprenticeships certification now and in the future.
- Maintain public confidence in the delivery of EPA and the award of the apprenticeship certificate.
- Deter others from carrying out similar instances of malpractice or maladministration.
- Ensure there has been no gain from compromising BPN standards.

The actions we may take include:

- Inform Ofqual, DfE as appropriate.
- Impose sanctions in relation to the employer / training provider with specified deadlines in order to address the instance of malpractice / maladministration and to prevent it from recurring.
- Impose non-conformances or sanctions on the employer / training provider – if so, these will be communicated with the rationale for the sanction(s) selected.
- In cases where certificates are deemed to be invalid, inform the employer and lead training provider concerned why they are invalid and any action to be taken for reassessment and / or for the withdrawal of the certificates. We will also ask the employer / training provider to let the affected apprentices know the action we are taking and that their original certificates are invalid and ask the employer, where possible, to return the invalid certificates. We will also amend BPN database so that duplicates of the invalid certificates cannot be issued, and we expect the employer and their lead training provider to amend their records to show that the original awards are invalid and that the apprentice has not completed and achieved.
- Review and if necessary, amend aspects of BPN delivery and certification arrangements and if appropriate, assessment and / or monitoring arrangements and associated guidance to prevent the issue from recurring.
- Inform relevant third parties (e.g., funding bodies) of BPN findings in case they need to take relevant action.

In proven cases of malpractice and / or maladministration, BPN reserves the right to charge for any re-assessment and re-issuing of certificates and / or additional external quality assurance or audit visits. The fees for re-assessment for these visits will be the current BPN prices for such activities at the time of the investigation. In addition to the above BPN Director of CPD and Accreditation will record any lessons learnt from the investigation and pass these onto relevant internal colleagues to help BPN to prevent the same instance of maladministration or malpractice from reoccurring.

If the relevant party/parties wish to appeal against a BPN decision to impose non-conformances or sanctions, please refer to BPN-EPAP Appeals Policy.

Section 14. Notifications to regulators

The General Conditions of Recognition (Ofqual) include two conditions which are relevant to investigative activity: A8 – Malpractice and Maladministration and B3 – Notification to Ofqual of certain events.

BPN-EPAO will consider the methodology and timing of reporting incidents to the regulators. It should be noted, however, that it is a requirement to report any potential malpractice, not just confirmed cases. This includes any allegation of centre or learner malpractice.

Section 15. Contact Information

If you have any queries relating to enquiries and appeals, please contact BPNs EPAO

Quality and Compliance team in writing:

By Email: epa@bestpracticenet.co.uk

By Post: Best Practice Network EPAO

Newminster House, 27-29 Baldwin St Bristol, BS1 1LT